1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA THOMAS JOSEPH DUNPHY JR., 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2623 DFL KJM P 12 VS. 13 DAVID L. RUNNELS, 14 Respondent. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On March 9, 2006, the court recommended that this 18 action be dismissed due to petitioner's failure to file an opposition or a statement of non-19 opposition to respondent's July 18, 2005 motion to dismiss. Petitioner has filed objections to the 20 March 9, 2006 findings and recommendations in which petitioner indicates that he never 21 received a copy of respondent's motion to dismiss or the court's October 12, 2005 order directing 22 petitioner to either file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition. In light of petitioner's 23 filing, the court will place a temporary hold on the findings and recommendations and provide 24 petitioner an opportunity to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss. 25 ///// ///// 26

Case 2:04-cv-02623-DFL-KJM Document 11 Filed 03/29/06 Page 2 of 2

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Respondent shall re-serve a copy of his July 18, 2005 motion to dismiss upon petitioner and file a certificate of service with the court; and
- 2. Petitioner shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss within thirty days of service of the motion. If petitioner fails to comply with this order, the court will submit March 9, 2006 findings and recommendations to the district court judge assigned to this case for consideration.

DATED: March 28, 2006.

dunp2623.ext